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## Summary of the presentation:

- Background
- Content of the Study
$>$ Dog and cat health and welfare
> Potential effects on the EU internal market
> Protection of EU consumers
- Main findings
- Possible opportunities to protect the welfare of dogs and cats



## BACKGROUND - 2006

Community Action Plan on the Protection and Welfare of Animals 2006-2010 grouped the various aspects of EU policy on animal welfare governing the keeping of billions of animals for economic purposes in the EU.

- Upgrading existing minimum standards
- Promoting future research a high priority
- Introducing standardised animal welfare indicators
- Ensuring that animal keepers/ handlers as well as the general public are more involved and informed
- Continue to support and initiate further international initiatives


## BACKGROUND - 2010

Conclusion of the Council of the European Union on welfare of dogs and cats...
"large differences seem to exist between the different national or regional rules on AW of dogs and cats within the EU"
"those differences may lead to unequal breeding and marketing costs which could create animal welfare problems, zoonotic risks and deception of the citizens due to the purchase of animals carrying hidden diseases, including genetic defects and/or with irreversible behavioural problems".

COM has to study the situation and investigate policy options for improvement

## BACKGROUND - 2012

European Parliament resolution called COM to put forward an EU legal framework for the protection of pets and stray animals, including rules for the identification and registration of animals, measures to promote responsible ownership, the prohibition of unlicensed kennels and shelters, and the prohibition of the killing of stray animals without medical indication*.

The delivery of the study was included in the EU strategy for the protection and welfare of animals 2012-2015
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## WhY A STUDY？：

－WHY A STUDY．．．？The study determines the extent to which $⿴ 囗 ⿱ 一 一$ initiatives would be necessary to achieve key objectives including the proper functioning of the internal market，protection of the consumer， public health，and the health and welfare of anim
－To identify possible EU relevant issues and to assess if citizens are appropriately informed about the risks linked to dog and cat commercial practices
－To provide options on possible added value of EU actions
－HOW WAS THE STUDY CONDUCTED？
－Socio－economic，technical and legal data were collected．They were gathered by analysing the available literature，disseminating questionnaires and conducting interviews with stakeholders．

## SCOPe OF The study/selected countries

## The study collected data from 12 representative

 Member States:- Countries were selected using population data, volumes of animals traded in the EU registered in TRACES and geographical and socioeconomic data
- Selected case study countries: Belgium, France, Germany, Hungary, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Spain, Sweden and the UK.
- The Eurostat report (2012) indicates that 85\% of the estimated total dog and $87 \%$ of the total estimated cat population in the EU are found in the selected MS.



## Methodology

- Literature study
- internet sources such as Pubmed and Google;
- database of the study organisations and the project team (extensive experience in pet welfare);
- stakeholders selected for their expertise and experience in different relevant discipline;
- questionnaires - respondents given the opportunity to upload relevant documents, which were added to the project database.
- Tailored questionnaires for target groups in the case study countries translated into official languages

| Web-based questionnaires | Word questionnaires <br> (disseminated by email) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Breeder associations/organisations | Dog and cat transporters |
| Breeders | Insurance companies |
| Citizens | Microchip producers |
| Dog and cat dealers | Pet food producers |
| Dog and cat shelters | Veterinary drug producers |
| Dog trainers |  |
| NGOs (animal welfare non-governmental <br> organisations) |  |
| Private veterinarians |  |
| Research institutes |  |
| Veterinary organisations |  |
| Competent authorities |  |

## ScOPE OF THE STUDY/RESPONDENTS

- 29,993 responses to the questionnaires, of which 22,973 responses received from 12 MS.
- 71\% of respondents were dog owners, compared to $13 \%$ of the EU population.
- 45\% of respondents were cat owners, compared to $15 \%$ of the EU population.
- 31\% of respondents owned both species.
- Approx. 30\% of citizen respondents were members of a welfare organisation


## Number of respondents to the questionnaires by case study country

Case study countries

|  | BE | FR | DE | HU | IT | NL | PL | RO | SK | ES | SE | UK | TOTAL** |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Breeder <br> organisations | 9 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 5 | $\mathbf{6 2}$ |
| Breeders | 108 | 490 | 361 | 31 | 57 | 95 | 163 | 82 | 9 | 65 | 433 | 126 | $\mathbf{2 , 0 2 0}$ |
| Citizens | 1,897 | 493 | 3,934 | 300 | 2,446 | 947 | 2,493 | 528 | 374 | 2,653 | 896 | 617 | $\mathbf{1 7 , 5 7 8}$ |
| Dog and cat <br> dealers | 182 | 58 | 165 | 6 | 19 | 37 | 36 | 12 | 0 | 80 | 29 | 10 | $\mathbf{6 3 4}$ |
| Dog and cat <br> shelters | 30 | 9 | 111 | 4 | 7 | 36 | 5 | 26 | 5 | 69 | 20 | 40 | $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ |
| Dog trainers | 68 | 15 | 205 | 12 | 86 | 90 | 40 | 5 | 0 | 62 | 93 | 56 | $\mathbf{7 3 2}$ |
| NGOs | 25 | 13 | 67 | 2 | 9 | 38 | 33 | 31 | 5 | 97 | 6 | 39 | $\mathbf{3 6 7}$ |
| Private <br> veterinarians | 33 | 20 | 197 | 8 | 72 | 96 | 164 | 213 | 4 | 94 | 146 | 24 | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 1}$ |
| Veterinary <br> organisations | 8 | 7 | 23 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 17 | 36 | 17 | $\mathbf{1 4 9}$ |
| TOTAL* | 2,360 | 1,120 | 5,068 | 365 | 2,703 | 1,349 | 2,951 | 911 | 400 | 3,147 | 1,665 | 934 | $\mathbf{2 2 , 9 7 3}$ |

Total respondents for case study countries + those not indicating a country $=\mathbf{2 9 , 9 9 3}$

## ScOPE OF THE STUDY / DATA ANALYSIS

- Overview of the dog and cat sector in the EU
- Population and stakeholder organizations
- Economic development of the sector in the EU
- Dog and cat breeding and distribution
- Dog and cat health and welfare
- Transport
- Breeding and keeping
- Training activities for stakeholders
- Effects on the EU internal market
- Dog and cat distribution
- Identification and registration
- Consumer protection


## Overview of the dog and cat sector in the EU DOG AND CAT POPULATION

- In the EU there are: 60.8 million dogs and 66.5 million cats
- Over 125 million animals, numbers increasing every year
- Annual value of cat and dog sales in the EU estimated at 1.3 billion euros
- Pet sector generating the direct employment of 300,000 people
- 22 billion euros spent on pet food and care
- 2.1 billion euros towards pet health products




## Breeding and distribution of dogs



Coming from:

Spain (36,4\%)
Hungary (21,5\%)
Slovakia (10,1\%)
Romania (9,7\%)
Italy (3,7\%)

## Going to:

Germany (57,1\%)
United Kingdom (9\%)
France (5,1\%)
Italy (4,8\%)
Belgium (4,7\%)

## Non-EU Countries

## Top five case study Member States for import of dogs and cats from Non-EU countries

| Dogs 2012 |  |  | Dogs 2014 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Country | Number | \% of EU total | Country | Number | \% of EU total |
| UK | 5143 | 43\% | UK | 1908 | 20\% |
| DE | 1265 | 11\% | DE | 1548 | 16\% |
| FR | 1236 | 10\% | FR | 1514 | 15\% |
| NL | 880 | 7\% | NL | 1737 | 18\% |
| IT | 508 | 4\% | IT | 654 | 7\% |
| Sub-total | 3889 | 33\% | Sub-total | 5453 | 56\% |
| EU total | 11896 | 100\% | EU total | 9771 | 100\% |
| Cats 2012 |  |  | Cats 2014 |  |  |
| Country | Number | \% of EU total | Country | Number | \% of EU total |
| UK | 3168 | 65\% | UK | 432 | 21\% |
| DE | 468 | 10\% | DE | 513 | 25\% |
| FR | 308 | 6\% | FR | 161 | 8\% |
| NL | 181 | 4\% | NL | 194 | 9\% |
| IT | 119 | 2\% | IT | 133 | 6\% |
| Sub-total | 1076 | 22\% | Sub-total | 1001 | 49\% |
| EU total | 4907 | 100\% | EU total | 2060 | 100\% |

- The total value for the EU associated with import of dogs is estimated at approximately 21 million euro (2014), and for cats 3 million euro (2014).


## Breeding and distribution

According to the questionnaire responses:

- Breeding:
- 87\% were hobby breeders
- 13\% professional breeders
- $5 \%$ indicated that breeding was their main source of income
- more than $75 \%$ breed less than 10 animals per year and only $7 \%$ sell more than 20 animals per year.
- Dealing
- $43 \%$ annual turnover of less than 10,000 euro
- 16\% turnover over 200,000 euro
- $29 \%$ of dog dealers sell less than ten dogs per year
- $59 \%$ of cat dealers sell less than ten cats
- $10 \%$ of dog dealers and $5 \%$ of cat dealers sell more than 200 animals per year.


## Dog and cat health and welfare

- Transport
- Breeding and keeping
- Training activities for stakeholders



## Transport - Regulation (EU) 1/2005 and national legal framework

Case study Member States with a national legal framework providing specific requirements for the transport of dogs and cats

| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BE | DE | ES | FR | HU | IT | NL | PL | RO | SE | SK | UK |  |  |  |
| Dogs |  | + | + | + |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Cats |  | + | + | + |  | + |  |  |  | + |  |  | 5 |  |  |

8 MS: no legislation on commercial transport of dogs 7 MS : no legislation on commercial transport of cats

## Transport - questionnaire

- MS: "Non compliance of transport documents required by EU legislation is a major issue"
- 2,020 breeders: 77\% did not consider regular transport of animals as part of their commercial and promotional activities
- Breeders, veterinarian organisations and NGOs: welfare impairment and stress to animals viewed as main risk



## Breeding and keeping

- 11 MS have national legal framework on Animal Welfare (except Romania)
- Breeder organisations: necessary to have guidelines on breeding standards and voluntary national standards issued by competent authorities for health and social behaviour of pedigree dogs
- The United Kingdom, Slovakia and Italy: technical standards for breeders in place
- 10 MS: Registration for professional dog breeders already in place
- 8 MS : Registration for professional cat breeders already in place
- Hungary, Poland, Slovakia, Spain and Romania: no legal criteria to define professional breeders
- Registration of hobby breeders only exists in Belgium
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## Breeding and keeping - Welfare of dogs and cats

Table 1. Topics covered in the national legislation of individual Member States*

| Topics | Case study Member State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BE | DE | ES | FR | HU | IT | NL | PL | RO | SE | SK | UK |  |
| Euthanasia | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + |  | $+$ | + | + | 11 |
| Abuse | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |  | + | + | + | 11 |
| Health care |  |  | + |  | + | + | + |  |  |  | + | + | 6 |
| Housing | + | + | + |  | + | + | + | + |  | + | + | + | 11 |
| Feeding/water supply | + | + | + | + | + | $+$ | + | + |  | + | + | + | 11 |
| Protection from pain and disease | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |  | + | + | + | 11 |
| Right to perform appropriate behaviour | + | + |  | + | + |  |  | + |  | + | + | + | 8 |
| Abandonment | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |  | + | + | + | 11 |
| Neutering |  | + | + |  | + | $+$ |  |  |  |  |  | + | 5 |
| Information and education |  |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |  |  |  | + | 3 |
| Others | + |  |  |  |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |  | + | 3 |

[^0]


Animal care = 10 MS Zoonosis = 9 MS Animal health = 6 MS
Inherited diseases = 5 MS

## Potential effects on the EU internal market

- Unequal conditions for competition on the dog and cat market
- Breeders and traders calling for better enforcement of legislation
- Identification and registration: improving traceability and transparency of internal market

Table 1. Presence of a national legal framework for dog or cat I\&R in case study Member States

| Case study Member States* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | BE | DE | ES | FR | HU | IT | NL | PL | RO | SE | SK | UK | Total |
| Dogs | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | - | - | 8 |
| Cats | - | + | +* | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |

* In some countries, I\&R is only compulsory in some regions, for example cat identification in Spain
"Illegal distribution" defined in the study as "not compliant with applicable national or EU legislation"
- Not possible to determine effects of illegal distribution based on the outcomes of the study
- The study found considerable discrepancies between the number of traded dogs and cats registered through TRACES and the data provided by the literature


## Protection of the EU consumer

- Consumer Rights Directive concerning unfair business-toconsumer commercial practices: cats and dogs viewed as "products" / "goods"
- 6 MS have a specific national legal framework on consumer protection when purchasing dogs or cats: Belgium, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain and the UK.

```
8 of 11 MS report a low compliance:
Consumer protection deserves more attention!
```


## Consumer behaviour:

- When pets purchased present problems, most consumers go back to the breeder, rather than consumer organisations or starting legal procedures
- Consumers generally disatisfied with the way complaint is handled


## Risks:

- "Product" non-conformity to be reported within a few months after purchase. Genetic disorders may not become apparent within this time: six month period not adequate.
- Often the law applies only when consumer has purchased an animal from a registered seller. Consumer protection needed when purchasing from any seller!
- Specific health guarantees not provided at purchase: difficult for consumers to prove that genetic diseases, behavioural disorders or infectious diseases existed at the time of the purchase
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## Conclusions

## Four main areas identified:

## 1. Breeding

2. Transport
3. Lack of knowledge and information for the keeping of pets
4. Discrepancies in market data of pets


## 1. Breeding

- Among 12 Member States the system of registration and licensing of breeders and dealers is inconsistent.
- Breeder organisations consider this inconsistency as a source of health risk.
- Veterinary organisations concerned about health risks, particularly regarding inbreeding and infectious diseases.



## 2. Transport

Level of implementation in MS is variable

4 MS in the case of dogs, and 5 in the case of cats have implemented such rules.

Most data available concerns transport of farm animals, but lacking where small animals are concerned

## 3. Lack of knowledge and information for the keeping of pets

- Lack of consumer knowledge about the level of responsibility they are about to undertake
- Unawareness of costs of keeping an animal
- Less than $20 \%$ of purchasers in 10 Member States reported being well informed about animal welfare and health at the moment of purchase.
- Member States should provide more knowledge and information to the general public on responsible ownership



## in market data of pets

## 4. Discrepancies in market data of pets

- Breeders and retailers: potential unfair competition in the EU caused by the lack of transparency of the pet market.
- Differences in market data:
- Existing literature data estimates: 46,000 dogs traded every month between all EU Member States, for a total value of more than 5.5 million euros per month.
- In 2014 TRACES registered 20,779 dogs and 2,287 cats involved in intra-EU trade and 9,771 dogs and 2,060 cats imported from Non-EU countries.
- Member States: discrepancies in data significant, increased health risks to both animals and humans.


## OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE PROTECTION OF CAT AND DOG WELFARE

1. Commercial distribution: Improved data collection and traceability
2. General education and training
3. Improved enforcement of current legislation


## Commercial distribution: Improved data collection and traceability

- More systematic identification, registration and movement control of dogs and cats
- Better collection of data to ensure improved traceability and transparency of the market.



## General education and training

- Awareness and knowledge of consumers on potential dangers involved in cross-border purchasing and their consumer rights
- Development and distribution of self-assessment tools such as checklists, protocols and/or guidelines on purchasing to provide better animal care and responsible ownership.
- Establishment of reference centres for animal welfare



## Improved enforcement of current legislation

- The exchange of knowledge, best practices and networking among Member States can help to improve enforcement.
- These different tools, such as best practices, could include science-based animal welfare indicators to standardise welfare assessment across Member States.
- Additionally, good practices could be identified for all aspects of commercial breeding, keeping and distribution.
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[^0]:    * does not cover stray animals

    Source: study data

