Molecular evidence of Leishmania infantum in Ixodes ricinus ticks from dogs and cats, in Italy
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Summary
Leishmaniosis, caused by Leishmania infantum, is an endemic zoonosis in the Mediterranean basin. To date, phlebotomine sand flies are the only accepted biological vectors of Leishmania parasites to dogs and humans. The absence of the primary vector in autochthonous Leishmania outbreaks suggests a possible role of fleas or ticks as alternative vectors. In this study, 119 ticks were collected between August 2007-June 2008 and between March 2010-October 2010 from various animal species and humans living in Italian areas where canine leishmaniosis is endemic (i.e. rural areas of the North) and were tested for the presence of L. infantum DNA. Nine (7.5%) out of 119 ticks resulted PCR positive. All ticks were morphologically identified as Ixodes ricinus ticks, 3 from 1 cat, 6 from 4 dogs. To our knowledge, this is the first evidence of L. infantum DNA in ticks from cat, suggesting that the debate about the epidemiological role of ticks in canine leishmaniosis might be extended to feline leishmaniosis.
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Riassunto
La leishmaniosi sostenuta da Leishmania infantum è una zoonosi endemica nel bacino del Mediterraneo. Allo stato attuale delle conoscenze i flegbotomi sono gli unici vettori riconosciuti per la trasmissione del microrganismo a cane e uomo. Tuttavia, il mancato rilevamento del vettore all’interno di focolai autoctoni di infezione ha indotto ad indagare sul possibile coinvolgimento di zecche o pulci come vettori alternativi. In questo studio, 119 zecche sono state prelevate in Italia settentrionale e centrale, tra agosto-giugno 2008 e tra marzo-ottobre 2010, in diverse specie animali e uomo. Le zecche sono state successivamente testate per la presenza di DNA di Leishmania infantum. Nove (7,5%) su 119 zecche risultarono PCR positive. Tali parassiti hanno risultato provenire da animali (gatto e cane) presenti in aree endemiche per leishmaniosi. Lo studio sembra essere la prima segnalazione di DNA di Leishmania infantum da zecche rimosse da gatto. Tale riscontro sembra suggerire che il dibattito sul ruolo delle zecche nell’epidemiologia della leishmaniosi nel cane possa essere esteso anche a quella del gatto.

Leishmaniosis, caused by Leishmania infantum, is an endemic zoonosis in the Mediterranean basin. Dogs are the primary domestic reservoir hosts; however in areas where visceral leishmaniosis is endemic, other, both domestic and wild, animal species have been found infected (Gramiccia 2011, Molina et al. 2012, Antoniou et al. 2013, Pennisi et al. 2013).

To date, phlebotomine sand flies (Diptera: Psychodidae) are the only accepted biological vectors of Leishmania parasites to dogs and humans, in nature (Killick-Kendrick 1999).

However, in recent years, autochthonous cases of canine leishmaniosis have been reported in areas where the presence of the primary vector has not
been determined (Dantas-Torres et al. 2005). This finding posed the need to explore alternative ways of parasite transmission, suggesting also a possible role of fleas or ticks as alternative *L. infantum* vectors (Coutinho et al. 2005, Coutinho and Linardi 2007, Ferreira et al. 2009, Silva de Morais et al. 2013). In this study, ticks were collected from various animal species and humans living in Italian areas where canine leishmaniosis is endemic; the ticks were tested for the presence of *L. infantum* DNA.

One hundred and nineteen ticks were collected in 2 periods (August 2007-June 2008 and March 2010-October 2010) in 14 rural areas of the Northern and Central Italy, for purposes other than *L. infantum* investigation. Eighty-four ticks were removed from 14 dogs, 28 from 6 horses, 3 from 1 cat, 3 from 2 humans and 1 from a bovine.

Ticks were placed in vials containing 70% ethanol and morphologically identified using proper taxonomic keys (Halos et al. 2004, Mancianti et al. 2004, Varani et al. 2013). After identification, DNA was extracted from individual ticks using a commercial kit according to Aureli et al. (2012)

The efficiency of tick DNA extraction was evaluated by amplification of the tick mitochondrial 16S rRNA, according to Halos et al. (2004).

A conventional PCR amplifying a kinetoplast fragment of 145 bp was performed, using primers RV1 (5'-CTTTTCTTGTCGCCGGGTAGG-3') and RV2 (5'-CCACCTGGCCTATTTTACACCA-3'), according to Lachaud and colleagues (Lachaud et al. 2002).

The reaction was conducted in a 50 μl final volume containing 50 mM KCl, 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 200 μM of each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 50 pmol of each primer, 1.25 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and 5 μl of the DNA sample. The conditions for the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C (4 min), 40 cycles each consisting of denaturation at 94°C (1 min), annealing at 58°C (1 min), extension at 72°C (1 min). A final elongation step of 10 minutes at 72°C completed the reaction. Both the DNA from a *L. infantum* reference strain (MHOM/TN/80/IPT1) and a sample without DNA were tested as positive and negative control, respectively. PCR products were visualized on a 2% agarose gel in 1× TAE buffer with ethidium bromide staining. PCR products were sequenced in both directions (Bio-Fab Research, Rome, Italy). Sequences were compared with those available in GenBank database using NCBI BLAST.

Tick mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene was detected in all the samples. Nine (7.5%) out of 119 ticks tested positive to the PCR, showing 95-98% sequence similarity with *L. infantum* kDNA sequences available in GenBank database (accession numbers: EU370899.1; EU370893.1; Z35292.1; HQ585883.1; EU370895.1).

All the 9 ticks were morphologically identified as *Ixodes ricinus* ticks: 6 were adult engorged females, 3 adult males. Three out of the 9 ticks were removed from a cat living in Montecatone area (Bologna province, Italy), 4 from 2 dogs living in the Gessi Bolognesi e Calanchi dell’Abbadessa Regional Park (Bologna Province, Italy) and 2 from 2 dogs in Forli area (Forli-Cesena province, Italy).

With regard to the animals from which PCR positive ticks were removed, no anamnestic data were available about dogs, while the anamnesis of the cat reported that the animal was apparently healthy.

The results of this study show the *Leishmania* kDNA presence in ticks removed from 4 dogs and 1 cat living in areas where canine leishmaniosis is endemic. Montecatone is near to territories of the Bologna province, where the infection has been reported both in humans and dogs (Pampiglione et al. 1974, Mollicone et al. 2003, Varani et al. 2013). It is noteworthy that Gessi Bolognesi-Calanchi dell’Abbadessa Regional Park is located in a territory bordering a stable focus of canine leishmaniosis in another area of Bologna province (Mollicone et al. 2003); in the Forli province, autochthonous cases in dogs have also been reported (Baldelli, personal communication).

The finding of *Leishmania* DNA in ticks from dogs is not surprising, according to previous studies showing *L. infantum* DNA in Ripicephalus sanguineus ticks removed from *Leishmania* seropositive and seronegative dogs (Coutinho et al. 2005, Dantas-Torres et al. 2010a, Fontes Paz et al. 2010, Solano-Gallego et al. 2012), as well as in *Ixodes ricinus* ticks from a *Leishmania* naturally infected dog living in Central Italy (Trotta et al. 2012).

However to our knowledge, this is the first evidence of *L. infantum* DNA in ticks from cats.

No anamnestic data were available about the PCR positive cat, except the lack of clinical signs. This data do not exclude *Leishmania* infection, since feline leishmaniosis is often associated to mild clinical signs, limited to the inoculation site (Mancianti et al. 2004). In this respect, a previous study showed no statistical association between positive *Leishmania* PCR results and clinical status of cats (Sherry et al. 2011).
### Table I. Sources and molecular results to *Leishmania infantum* in ticks collected in Northern and Central Italy between August 2007-June 2008 and between March 2010-October 2010.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Localization of samples sites</th>
<th>Geographical coordinates</th>
<th>Tick sources</th>
<th>N. ticks/Animal sources</th>
<th>Tick species</th>
<th>Developmental stage and sex of ticks</th>
<th>Molecular results (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bologna Province</td>
<td></td>
<td>cat</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em> (a)</td>
<td>Female 3 Engorged female 3</td>
<td>positive (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>5</td>
<td><em>R. sanguineus</em> (b)</td>
<td>Male 2</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>R. bursa</em> (c)</td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montecatone</td>
<td>44°21'12”N 11°42'5”E</td>
<td>horse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>R. turanicus</em> (d)</td>
<td>Male 2</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>H. detritum scupense</em> (e)</td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>horse</td>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>R. turanicus</em> (d)</td>
<td>Male 4</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>H. detritum detritum</em> (f)</td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gessi Bolognesi e Calanchi dell’Abbadessa Park</td>
<td>44°26'32”N 11°26'30”E</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>17</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 5 Female 11</td>
<td>positive (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Castel de Britti</td>
<td>44°28'0”N 11°24'0”E</td>
<td>horse</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>H. marginatum marginatum</em></td>
<td>Male 2</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pianoro</td>
<td>44°23'0”N 11°20'0”E</td>
<td>horse</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozzano dell’Emilia</td>
<td>44°27'0”N, 11°29'0”E</td>
<td>human</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>R. turanicus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Giovanni in Persiceto</td>
<td>44°38'27”N 11°11'6”E</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 2</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>14</td>
<td><em>R. sanguineus</em></td>
<td>Male 4 Female 5</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>19</td>
<td><em>R. sanguineus</em></td>
<td>Male 9</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forlì-Cesena Province</td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 1 Female 1</td>
<td>positive (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>R. sanguineus</em></td>
<td>Male 2</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>2</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 2</td>
<td>positive (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercato Saraceno</td>
<td>43°57'0”N 12°12'0”E</td>
<td>horse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 3</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modena Province</td>
<td></td>
<td>human</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polinago</td>
<td>44°21'0”N 10°43'0”E</td>
<td>bovine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>R. bursa</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenna Province</td>
<td></td>
<td>bovine</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>R. turanicus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casola Valsenio</td>
<td>44°13'0”N 11°37'0”E</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>R. turanicus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lido di Savio</td>
<td>44°18'10”N 12°20'45”E</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>6</td>
<td><em>R. sanguineus</em></td>
<td>Male 5</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lugo</td>
<td>44°25'0”N 11°55'0”E</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>I. acuminatus</em> (h)</td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesaro-Urbino Province</td>
<td></td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>1</td>
<td><em>I. acuminatus</em> (h)</td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montecchio</td>
<td>43°49'37”N 12°48'8”E</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>9</td>
<td><em>R. sanguineus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Republic of San Marino</td>
<td>43°46'N 12°25”E</td>
<td>dog</td>
<td>8</td>
<td><em>I. ricinus</em></td>
<td>Male 1</td>
<td>negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) = *Ixodes ricinus*; (b) = *Rhipicephalus sanguineus*; (c) = *Rhipicephalus bursa*; (d) = *Rhipicephalus turanicus*; (e) = *Hyalomma detritum scupense*; (f) = *Hyalomma detritum detriment*; (g) = *Hyalomma marginatum marginatum*; (h) = *Ixodes acuminatus*.
The *in vitro* model proposed by Prima *et al.* (2007) showed that *Leishmania* kDNA is rapidly degraded following amastigote death, thus its detection might suggest the viability of the parasite. Nevertheless, as highlighted by other authors (Otranto and Dantas-Torres 2010, Dantas-Torres *et al.* 2010b), the retrieval of *L. infantum* DNA in ticks does not confirm their vector competence.

Feline leishmaniosis has been described in several countries, mostly in areas where the infection is endemic, such as Spain, Italy, France, Portugal, Brazil (Dunan *et al.* 1989, Costa Durao *et al.* 1994, Pennisi *et al.* 2004, Martin-Sanchez *et al.* 2007, Magno da Silva *et al.* 2010).

The epidemiological role of the cat in *Leishmania* maintenance and spread has been long discussed, suggesting that cat may represent a secondary host reservoir rather than an accidental host (Gramiccia 2011). The evidence of the parasite transmission from naturally infected cats to phlebotomine vectors in Italy (Maroli *et al.* 2007) and in Brazil (Magno da Silva *et al.* 2010) suggested a sand fly-transmission of feline leishmaniosis.

Our results seem to indicate that the debate about the epidemiological role of ticks in canine leishmaniosis might be extended to feline leishmaniosis. To our knowledge, this is the first report of *L. infantum* DNA in ticks removed from cats. Nevertheless, the present study presents some strong limits, first of all that the ticks were collected for purposes other than *Leishmania* investigation, so concurrent serological or molecular analysis for *L. infantum* from the animals parasitized were not performed. Moreover, the animals previously tested were untraced to perform additional tests. Taking in account these considerations, the results of the present study should be considered preliminary to further and more focused investigations including a larger number of ectoparasitized cats, with the aim to assess the prevalence of *L. infantum* in ticks from cats and the possible correlation of pathogens detected in vectors and status of infection in animals.
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